From: 8064986673 To: USPTO Page: 13/15 Date: 2006/1/23 下午 02:51:47

Appl. No. 10/791,709 Amdt. dated January 23, 2006 Reply to Office action of September 27, 2005

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

1. Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10-21 are allowed.

5

10

15

20

25

Response:

The applicant acknowledges the allowance of claims 10-21.

Claims 14 and 15 are currently amended, such that a redundant colon mark ":" in claim 15 is deleted and a necessary period "." is inserted at the end of claims 14 and 15, respectively. No new material has been introduced.

2. Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-5 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response:

The applicant acknowledges the allowance of claims 2-5 and 7. As a result, claims 2-5 and 7 have been rewritten as suggested by the examiner.

The limitations of claim 2 have been incorporated into claim 1. In addition, the claim rejection of the original claim 1 under 35 USC §112 due to the insufficient antecedent basis for the term "the RC circuit" is overcome by the added recitation "to form an RC circuit". No new material has been introduced.

From: 8064986673 To: USPTO Page: 14/15 Date: 2006/1/23 下午 02:51:47

Appl. No. 10/791,709 Amdt. dated January 23, 2006 Reply to Office action of September 27, 2005

The limitations of claim 3 have been incorporated with the original claim 1 to form the currently amended claim 3. No new material has been introduced. Claims 4 and 5 are dependent upon the currently amended claim 3, an independent claim. Claim 7 is still dependent upon claim 5. Therefore, the objection to claims 2-5 and 7 should be overcome.

As claims 6, 8, and 9 are dependent upon claim 1, they should be allowed if the currently amended claim 1 is allowed. Therefore, reconsideration of claims 6, 8, and 9 is politely requested.

10

As a result, the applicant believes that the present application is now in condition for allowance as required. Therefore, reconsideration and allowance of this application is respectfully requested.

15

20

3. Amendment to the withdrawn claims

The withdrawn claim 22 is inserted "to form an RC circuit" to avoid the problem of insufficient antecedent basis.

The withdrawn claim 23 is inserted a period mark "." at the end of the description.

No new matter has been introduced.

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

From: 8064986673

To: USPTO

Page: 15/15

Date: 2006/1/23 下午 02:51:48

Appl. No. 10/791,709

Amdt. dated January 23, 2006

Reply to Office action of September 27, 2005

Sincerely yours,

Winten Hars

Date: 12/22/2005

Winston Hsu, Patent Agent No. 41,526

5 P.O. BOX 506, Merrifield, VA 22116, U.S.A.

Voice Mail: 302-729-1562 Facsimile: 806-498-6673

e-mail: winstonhsu@naipo.com

10 Note: Please leave a message in my voice mail if you need to talk to me. (The time in D.C.

is 13 hours behind the Taiwan time, i.e. 9 AM in D.C. = 10 PM in Taiwan.)